

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,123	11/03/2003	Raymond Leonard Conway	RLC-1	3715
7:	590 03/15/2004		EXAM	INER
RAYMOND L. CONWAY 4490 Stratford Ct.			LEE, KYUNG S	
Batavia, OH	- ··		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
·			2832	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,123	CONWAY, RAYMOND LEONARD
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard K. Lee	2832
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 		
Status		·
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No.	ovember 2003.	, •
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the ments is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.		·
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	,
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2003 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	priority under 25 U.S.C. & 110(a)	. (d) or (f)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	phonty under 35 O.S.C. § 119(a)	-(u) 01 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	
application from the International Bureau	•	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.
Attachment/c\		·
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/700,123

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 5-8 and 13-14 recite, "adapted to." It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glick (4,063,110).

Glick teaches an electrical box comprising:

- a bottom (see figs. 1 and 2);
- a plurality of adjacent walls;
- a top hingedly joined to a top edge of one wall;

Application/Control Number: 10/700,123 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

at least one conductor opening 25 (slot for claim 9) adjacent to the top edge for receiving at least one conductor; and

the opening being at least partially defined by the top when the top is closed.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, the top is unitary with a top edge of the sidewall (see fig. 1) by a hinge, the hinge being a living hinge.

Regarding claims 4 and 10, the molded case (col. 2, line 32) includes frangible portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-7 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glick in view of Ericksen (5,245,507).

Glick teaches the claimed invention except for the opening and the open box perimeter "adapted to" engage as seal.

Ericksen teaches providing a seal 34 (fig. 3) for an opening to an electrical box. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the opening of Glick's box with the pliable seal as taught by Ericksen. Since the pliable seal of Ericksen would provide the box of Glick with weather resistance (see abstract of Ericksen).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see the attached PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard K. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1994. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri. 6:00AM to 2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard K. Lee

Examiner

Art Unit 2832